PX 475

Case 1:20-cv-10832-AT-SN Document 620-70 Filed 09/13/22 Page 2 of 3 Message Patrick Griffin @ripple.com] From: 6/10/2016 12:55:50 AM Sent: To: @ripple.com]; CC: Subject: Re: XRP Transaction Makes sense and agreed. You should have received a contract from our legal team reflecting the new terms. Patrick On Jun 9, 2016, at 1:13 PM, vrote: HI Patrick, Thanks for reaching out earlier today. I had a chance to catch up with the trading team, and I guess that volume is OK provided that we limit it only to from the purchase date...does that work? So that there would be from the date that we agreed to the purchase, and an additional a full lock up for the first where we are restricted from trading more than of the daily volume. Make sense? Thanks, VP, Finance & Controller From: Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 2:07 PM To: 'Patrick Griffin' Cc: Subject: RE: XRP Transaction

Patrick,

I apologize for the delay... attached is the marked up version. Just a couple of items that we changed:

- 1. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->As I may have already mentioned to you, we certainly don't plan on selling anytime soon, but as a precedent for future purchases I think that the lock up period should begin as of the effective date of the purchase as opposed to the date that the paperwork is all signed up.
- 2. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Beyond the initial lockup, restricting the amount we can sell to an average daily volume and certain price points is probably not something that we can manage. We certainly understand the need for these mechanisms, but perhaps we can increase the initial lock up period to get you a bit more comfortable with removing the subsequent restrictions.
- 3. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->We changed the jurisdiction to New York.

Please let me know if you have any questions or want to discuss.

Thanks,

VP, Finance & Controller

